

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	filing date	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION N			
09/527,855	03/17/2000	Shiri Kadambi	58268-09005 3720			
32294 75	590 . 12/13/2004	EXAMINER				
SQUIRE, SA	NDERS & DEMPSEY	n <mark>ç</mark> uyen, hanh n				
14TH FLOOR 8000 TOWERS	CRESCENT	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
TYSONS CORNER, VA 22182			2662			
		DATE MAILED: 12/13/2004				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary								
		09/527,855		KADAMBI ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Hanh Nguye		2662				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the c	over sheet with the c	orrespondence add	dress			
A SH THE I - Exter - If the - If NC - Failu Any (	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reprovement of the provision of	136(a). In no event. Dly within the statuto I will apply and will e te, cause the applica	however, may a reply be timery minimum of thirty (30) days xpire SIX (6) MONTHS from tion to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on App	lication filed o	n 3/17/00.					
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)	· <del>-</del>							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠ 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	4)  Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	on Papers							
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examin The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination.	cepted or b) e drawing(s) be ction is required	held in abeyance. See if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CF	• •			
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) <u></u> a)∣	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document  2. Certified copies of the priority document  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document  application from the International Bureates  See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been nts have been ority documen au (PCT Rule	received. received in Applicati ts have been receive 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage			
Attachmen	t(s)							
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4	) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
3) X Infor	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 r No(s)/Mail Date 9/9/02.	,	Paper No(s)/Mail Da ) Notice of Informal P ) Other:	ate	)-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/527,855

Art Unit: 2662

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: there is not descriptions for figure 56 which describes the claimed invention.

Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, it is not clearly stated on lines 7, 8 what is meant by "sending an indicator" and "receiving said indicator".

Claim 2 is rejected because it depends on claim 1.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Art Unit: 2662

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 102(a) as being anticipated by Latif et al. (Pat. 6,393,483 B1).

In claims 1-4, Latif et al. discloses, in Fig.3, a switch 140 transmitting packets (first packets) from MAC1 (first source) via port 1 (ingress port/ first port) to a host A. When the port 1 is failed (indication), packet transmission from different source (second packets) is reassigned (switching indicator) to be transmitted to an active port 3 (third port/ second ingress port) or any least busiest port in a round robin teachnique (third port/ second ingress port). See Fiures 3, 4 and 8 & col.6, lines 47-67.

### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Johnson (Pat. 6301257 B1) discloses Method and Apparatus for transmitting data Frames between Switches in a Meshed data Network.

Hamami (Pat. 5,959,972) discloses Methof of Port /link Redundancy in an ATM Switch.

Morales (Pat. 5,987,526) discloses ATM Layer Automatic Protection Switching Mechanism for ATM PVCs.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hanh Nguyen whose telephone number is 703 306-5445. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8AM to 5PM. The examiner can also be reached on alternate

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hassan Kizou, can be reached on 571 272 3088. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Hanh Nguyen

December 9,2004